IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
CONTEMPT PETITION NO. 124 OF 2004

Mrs. Sheetal Jiyalal Mehra ...Petitioner

Versus

Pramod Kumar Ralhan & Ors ...Respondents

.....

None for the petitioner as well as for the respondents

.....

CORAM: B. H. MARLAPALLE, J.
DATED: 24TH MARCH, 2005

P. C.:-

. This contempt petition was taken up for hearing on admission on 22.2.2005, none was present and the same is the case when it came up for hearing on admission today. It is alleged that the order passed by the City Civil Court at Mumbai in Notice of Motion No. 2151 of 1996 has been wilfully breached. If that be so, the petitioner has an alternate remedy of filing an application under Order XXXIX Rule 2A of C.P.C. before the Court below. In the instant petition, the petitioner does not disclose the date of cause of action i.e. the date of the alleged willful breach of the injunction, the petition is therefore, rejected with liberty as is available in law.